Homodyne measurement of exponential phase momenta for quantum-phase reconstruction
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Abstract

We directly sample the exponential momenta of the canonical phase for various quantum states from the homodyne output. The method enables us to study the phase properties experimentally, without making the detour via reconstructing the density matrix or the Wigner function and calculating the phase statistics from them. In particular, combing the measurement with a measurement of the photon-number variance, we verify fundamental number–phase uncertainty.

PACS: 42.50.Dv; 42.50.Ar; 03.65.Bz

Keywords: Direct sampling; Exponential phase momenta; Number–phase uncertainty relations; Canonical phase distribution

Optical homodyne tomography has been a powerful method for quantum-state measurement, because the measured quadrature-component distributions \( p(x, \theta) = \langle x, \theta | \hat{a} | x, \theta \rangle \) contain all the information about the quantum state \( |x, \theta\rangle \) being the eigenstates of \( \hat{x} = x / \sqrt{2} (e^{-i\theta} \hat{a} + e^{i\theta} \hat{a}^\dagger) \). In this way, the Wigner function [1] and the density matrix [2–4] can be inferred from experimental data. When the state (in a chosen representation) is known, then the mean values of arbitrary quantities can be calculated.

Each measurement is associated with errors (at least, the statistical error) which propagate in the calculation procedure. Hence, the error of a calculated quantity can be too large to be acceptable. For example, if we are interested in photon-number momenta \( \langle \hat{b}^n \rangle \), we have to multiply the diagonal density-matrix elements \( \rho_{nn} \) by \( n^k \) and perform the sum. Since the statistical error of \( \rho_{nn} \) does not vanish with increasing \( n \), the total error is infinite after summing all the terms. Truncation of the sum can avoid this trouble for sufficiently low-order momenta, whereas for high-order momenta also small values of \( \rho_{nn} \) with large \( n \) may be essential. To overcome this problem, it has been suggested to sample the desired quantities directly from the measured data, without the detour via the density matrix (or other state representations). Formulas have been derived that are suited for direct sampling of normally ordered momenta of photon creation and destruction operators [5], and an extension to arbitrary quantities that admit normal-order expansion has been given [6]. Quite recently sampling functions for measuring the exponential momenta of the canonical phase have been derived [7].

In this paper we apply the sampling method to an experimental determination of the exponential momenta of the canonical phase for various single-mode quantum states. The results are then used for determining the phase distribution as Fourier transform of the exponential phase momenta. In particular, the sampled first exponential phase moment already defines a phase uncertainty [8,9]. Using the (simultaneously) sampled photon-number variance a verification of the number–phase uncertainty predicted theoretically in Ref. [9] is given.

The exponential phase momenta \( \Psi_k \) are defined by the Fourier components of the phase distribution \( P(\phi) \), i.e., \( \Psi_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi e^{-ik\phi} P(\phi) \). For the canonical phase they are...
given by $\mathcal{P}_k = \langle \hat{E}^\dagger \rangle$ if $k > 0$, and $\mathcal{P}_k = \mathcal{P}_{-k}$ if $k < 0$, where $\hat{E} = (\hat{a} + 1)^{-1/2} \hat{a}$, $\hat{a} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$ being the photon-number operator. It can be shown that $\mathcal{P}_k$ can be obtained from the quadrature-component distributions $p(x, \theta)$ on using the integral kernels given in Ref. [7]. Knowing $\mathcal{P}_k$, the phase distribution $P(\varphi)$ can be obtained according to $P(\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\varphi} \mathcal{P}_n$. However, the first moment already contains essential information about the phase properties. It can be used to introduce a mean phase $\varphi = \arg \mathcal{P}_1$ and a phase uncertainty $\Delta \varphi = \arccos |\mathcal{P}_1|$, which implies a number–phase uncertainty relation [9]:

$$\Delta n \tan \Delta \varphi \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$  

(1)

Note that for the number-uncertainty $\Delta n = (\langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2)^{1/2}$ the quantities $\langle \hat{n} \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle$ can also be obtained from the measured data via direct sampling, with the integral kernels given in Ref. [5]. Hence, homodyne detection can be regarded as the most direct way that has been known so far for experimental verification of the uncertainty relation (1).

The experimental setup is the same as in Ref. [4]. Its central unit is a monolithic standing-wave lithium-niobate optical parametric amplifier (OPA) pumped by a frequency-doubled continuous-wave Nd:YAG laser (1064 nm). Operated below threshold, the OPA is a source of squeezed vacuum. We study the spectral components of the field around a frequency offset by $\Omega/2\pi = 1.5$ or 2.5 MHz from the optical frequency $\omega_0$, to avoid low-frequency laser excess noise. To generate bright light, a very weak wave split off the main laser beam is phase-modulated by an electro-optic modulator (EOM) at the frequency $\Omega$ and injected into the OPA through its high reflector port. The carrier frequency $\omega_0$ is kept on-resonance with the cavity and the two “bright” sidebands $\omega_0 \pm \Omega$ are well within the cavity bandwidth. By turning the modulation off, we obtain squeezed vacuum, by blocking the OPA pump wave, we are left with coherent excitations.

The signal is analyzed at a homodyne detector, whose output current is mixed with an electrical local oscillator phase-locked to the modulation frequency, low-pass fil-
Fig. 3. Measured exponential phase momenta $\Psi_k$ for a squeezed vacuum [state (G) in Table 1] [(a) real part; (b) imaginary part].

tered and recorded with a high speed A/D converter. Since the squeezed states are essentially two-mode states, a two-mode detection is crucial for obtaining the correct statistics of the light field. We remark however, that this type of measurement may need modifications for general states of the light field. The quadrature-component distributions $p(x, \theta)$ are obtained by subdividing the recorded noise traces into 128 equal length intervals and subsequently forming histograms of 256 amplitude bins, normalizing the absolute bin width using as reference the distribution of a vacuum state.

In Figs. 1–3, the sampled exponential phase momenta $\Psi_k$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 20$, are shown for a phase-squeezed state (Fig. 1), a state squeezed at a phase angle of $48^\circ$ (the difference between the argument of the squeezing parameter and the argument of the displacement parameter) and a squeezed vacuum (Fig. 3). The error bars indicate the statistical error. Since the main source of inaccuracy is the fluctuation of the local oscillator, the error is dominated by the systematic one. The canonical phase distributions ob-

Fig. 4. The canonical phase distribution $P(\varphi)$ reconstructed from 20 measured exponential phase momenta $\Psi_k$ given in Figs. 1–3 is shown for (a) a phase-squeezed state [state (F) in Table 1], (b) for a state squeezed at a phase angle of $48^\circ$ [state (H) in Table 1] and (c) for a squeezed vacuum [state (G) in Table 1].
tained from the sampled momenta (Fourier components) are plotted in Fig. 4. Since in Fig. 1 $|\psi|^2$ decreases with increasing $|\epsilon|$ slower than in Fig. 2, the phase distribution of the phase-squeezed state in Fig. 4(a) is more sharply peaked than that in Fig. 4(b). Fig. 4(c) clearly reveals the double-peak structure of the phase distribution of a squeezed vacuum. Note that the small oscillations in the figures (which also include negative values) mainly result from systematic errors.

Examples of $\Delta$ and $\Delta\phi$ together with the measured mean photon number $\overline{n} = \langle \hat{n} \rangle$ and photon-number uncertainty $\Delta n$ are given in Table 1 for various states prepared in the experiment. The last row shows the resulting values of the number–phase uncertainty product $\Delta n \tan \Delta \phi$, which are in full agreement with the predicted inequality (1). The (near-)coherent states (A, B) and phase-squeezed states (E, F) are seen to exhibit relatively small phase uncertainties. Note that the coherent state (B) has the smallest phase uncertainty. Relatively large phase uncertainties are observed for the amplitude-squeezed states (C, D) and the state (H) squeezed at a phase angle of 48°. Note that in comparison with a coherent state of the same amplitude the phase-squeezed state and the amplitude-squeezed state should exhibit smaller respectively larger phase uncertainty. As expected, the near-maximum phase uncertainty $\Delta \phi = \pi/2$ corresponds to the squeezed vacuum (G) (the “ellipse” in the phase space is centered at the origin). Therefore, for this state the uncertainty product $\Delta n \tan \Delta \phi$ achieves a very large value. The smallest value of the uncertainty product is observed for the coherent state (A). It is close to its limit $1/2$. With respect to the photon number, the amplitude-squeezed state (C) is seen to be sub-Poissonian.

In summary, we have sampled the exponential momenta of the canonical phase directly from the homodyne output for various coherent and squeezed states produced in a continuous optical field by means of parametric amplification. This has enabled us to study the canonical phase statistics experimentally, without the necessity of state reconstruction, which saves calculation effort and reduces the statistical error. In particular, from the sampled first-order exponential phase moment and the simultaneously sampled first- and second order photon-number momenta we have determined phase and number uncertainties and shown that the uncertainty products are in agreement with the theoretical prediction.
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